

## **The peer review process**

All articles submitted to the journal are peer-reviewed according to the following procedure:

**1.** The initial review of articles are carried out by the Editor-in-Chief and subject editor of the journal, who decide if the article fits the scope of the journal and meets the formal requirements set out in the "Editorial principles".

**2.** After the initial approval papers are sent to two independent reviewers, wherein at least one of the reviewers is affiliated with a foreign institution other than the nationality of the author. In accordance with the principles of "**double-blind review process**", the author and reviewers do not know each other's identity.

- Review is provided in writing (article review form is available on the website of the journal), and includes a clear statement that the article is accepted with minor/no revisions or is rejected.

- The names of reviewers cooperating with the journal are updated once a year.

### **Publication ethics and malpractice prevention**

Authors of the publications should disclose each person's contribution to the creation of publication (including the information, who the author of the concept is, thesis, methods, researches, and other elements used in the preparation of the publication). To prevent scientific misconduct, the authors of the publication are asked to sign a statement about the authorship and the originality of the text. The authors of the papers bear main responsibility for their manuscripts.

In case of scientific misconduct editors will inform appropriate authorities.